To: Higuchi, Dean[Higuchi.Dean@epa.gov]

From: Johnson, Kathleen

**Sent:** Wed 10/21/2015 5:43:54 PM

Subject: Fwd: Bay Delta

WaterFixCoverLetter 10-21-15.docx

ATT00001.htm

Attachment Withheld; Ex 5 Deliberative

I'm hoping you can print while I'm talking with kaki

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Goforth, Kathleen" < Goforth.Kathleen@epa.gov>

Date: October 21, 2015 at 5:12:19 AM HST

To: "Johnson, Kathleen" < Johnson. Kathleen@epa.gov>, "Prijatel, Jean"

< PRIJATEL.JEAN@EPA.GOV >, "Hagler, Tom" < Hagler.Tom@epa.gov >, "Vendlinski,

Tim" < vendlinski.tim@epa.gov >, "Foresman, Erin" < Foresman.Erin@epa.gov >

Subject: Fwd: Bay Delta

Based on a quick read of this on my iPhone screen (which may not be showing me the complete mark-up), I think we can live with this. It needs some cleaning up (lots of typos have been introduced and a couple questions need to be answered), but the big messages are still in there. What do you all think?

In response to HQ's question at the end, I do think that it is important to include the Detailed Comments; they support our position, and not including them would set EPA up for accusations of "late hits" when we try to pursue those issues later.

-Kathy

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Bromm, Susan" < Bromm.Susan@epa.gov>

Date: October 21, 2015 at 6:34:47 AM PDT

**To:** "Johnson, Kathleen" < Johnson. Kathleen@epa.gov>

Cc: "Goforth, Kathleen" < Goforth.Kathleen@epa.gov >, "Leff, Karin" < Leff.Karin@epa.gov >, "Wright, Justin" < wright.justin@epa.gov >

Subject: Bay Delta

Kathleen,

Cynthia and I have taken a stab at revising the letter in light of our conversation on Friday. Take a look and see what you think.
Susan